

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,545	09/12/2003	Arto Palin	4208-4145 (Nokia NC28903)	6422
27123 MORGAN &	7590 05/02/2007 z FINNEGAN, L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
3 WORLD FIN NEW YORK, I	INANCIAL CENTER		AJAYI, JOEL	
	N 1 10201-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/660,545 Examiner Joel Ajayi pears on the cover sheet with the cover	Applicant(s) PALIN ET AL. Art Unit 2617 correspondence address
<u>•</u>	Examiner Joel Ajayi	Art Unit
<u>•</u>	Joel Ajayi	2617
	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 M</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-13,15-17 and 19-23 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1, 3-13, 15-17, and 19-23 is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-13, 15-17, and 19-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2617

Claims 1, 3-13, 15-17, and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Armantrout (U.S. Patent Number: 6,349,199) in view of Tomlinson, JR. et al. (U.S. Patent Application Number: 2003/0100288).

Consider claim 1; Armantrout clearly discloses a method of controlling a multicast transmission (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58), comprising: (a) transmitting a data packet to a plurality of devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); (b) detecting the reception of any acknowledgement transmissions, wherein each acknowledgement transmission indicates reception of the data packet by a respective one of the plurality of devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); (c) sending the data packet to at least one of the plurality of slave devices when an acknowledgment is not detected for each of the plurality of devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); (d) counting the number of consecutive times an acknowledgement packet is not received from a particular one of the plurality of devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); and (e) foregoing sending of the data packets until said number of consecutive times exceeds a predetermined threshold or when step (b) detects an acknowledgment transmission from each of the plurality devices except for said particular device (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58). Except:

An ultra wideband (UWB) wireless network; and retransmission.

Art Unit: 2617

In the same field of endeavor Tomlinson clearly discloses an ultra wideband (UWB) wireless network; and retransmission (paragraph 3, lines 24-30; paragraph 11, lines 12-14, 29-32; paragraph 13, lines 9-11; paragraph 15, lines 6-10; paragraph 16, lines 14-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Tomlinson into the method of Armantrout in order to provide reliable service in a telecommunication system.

Consider claim 13; Armantrout clearly discloses a wireless communications device (cellular terminal) (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58), comprising: counting the number of consecutive times an acknowledgement packet is not received from a particular one of the plurality of devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); and forego sending of the data packets until said number of consecutive times exceeds a predetermined threshold or when step (b) detects an acknowledgment transmission from each of the plurality devices except for said particular device (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58).

Except:

An ultra wideband (UWB) wireless network; a transmission buffer configured to store a packet for transmission to a plurality of devices; a retransmission buffer configured to store a retransmission packet, the retransmission packet being previously transmitted across the UWB wireless network; a retransmission controller configured to receive one or more acknowledgment transmissions from the plurality of devices; wherein the retransmission controller is further configured to cause the retransmission buffer to send the retransmission packet to the plurality of devices when an acknowledgment is not detected for each of the plurality of devices.

Art Unit: 2617

In the same field of endeavor Tomlinson clearly discloses an ultra wideband (UWB) wireless network (paragraph 3, lines 24-30; paragraph 11, lines 12-14, 29-32; paragraph 13, lines 9-11; paragraph 15, lines 6-10; paragraph 16, lines 14-18); a transmission buffer configured to store a packet for transmission to a plurality of devices (paragraph 3, lines 24-30; paragraph 11, lines 12-14, 29-32; paragraph 13, lines 9-11; paragraph 15, lines 6-10; paragraph 16, lines 14-18), a retransmission buffer configured to store a retransmission packet, the retransmission packet being previously transmitted across the UWB wireless network (paragraph 3, lines 24-30; paragraph 11, lines 12-14, 29-32; paragraph 13, lines 9-11; paragraph 15, lines 6-10; paragraph 16, lines 14-18); a retransmission controller configured to receive one or more acknowledgment transmissions from the plurality of devices (paragraph 3, lines 24-30; paragraph 11, lines 12-14, 29-32; paragraph 13, lines 9-11; paragraph 15, lines 6-10; paragraph 16, lines 14-18); wherein the retransmission controller is further configured to cause the retransmission buffer to send the retransmission packet to the plurality of slave devices when an acknowledgment is not detected for each of the plurality of devices (paragraph 3, lines 24-30; paragraph 11, lines 12-14, 29-32; paragraph 13, lines 9-11; paragraph 15, lines 6-10; paragraph 16, lines 14-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Tomlinson into the method of Armantrout in order to provide reliable service in a telecommunication system.

Consider claim 17; Armantrout clearly discloses a system for controlling a multicast transmission (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58), comprising: means for transmitting a data packet to a plurality of devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); means for detecting the reception of

any acknowledgement transmissions, wherein each acknowledgement transmission indicates reception of the data packet by a respective one of the plurality of devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); and means for sending the data packet to the one or more devices when an acknowledgment is not detected for each of the one or more devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); means for counting the number of consecutive times an acknowledgement packet is not received from a particular one of the plurality of devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); and means for foregoing sending of the data packets until said number of consecutive times exceeds a predetermined threshold or when step (b) detects an acknowledgment transmission from each of the plurality devices except for said particular device (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58). Except:

An ultra wideband (UWB) wireless network; and retransmission.

In the same field of endeavor Tomlinson clearly discloses an ultra wideband (UWB) wireless network; and retransmission (paragraph 3, lines 24-30; paragraph 11, lines 12-14, 29-32; paragraph 13, lines 9-11; paragraph 15, lines 6-10; paragraph 16, lines 14-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Tomlinson into the method of Armantrout in order to provide reliable service in a telecommunication system.

Consider claim 21; Armantrout clearly discloses a computer-readable medium encoded with processing instructions for implementing a method of controlling multicast transmission, performed by a wireless communications device (cellular terminal) (abstract, column 3, lines 11-

59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58), the method comprising: (a) transmitting a data packet to a plurality of slave devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); (b) detecting the reception of any acknowledgement transmissions, wherein each acknowledgement transmission indicates reception of the data packet by a respective one of the plurality of devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); and (c) sending the data packet to at least one of the plurality of slave devices when an acknowledgment is not detected for each of the plurality of devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); (d) counting the number of consecutive times an acknowledgement packet is not received from a particular one of the plurality of devices (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58); and (e) foregoing sending of the data packets until said number of consecutive times exceeds a predetermined threshold or when step (b) detects an acknowledgment transmission from each of the plurality devices except for said particular device (abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58).

Except:

An ultra wideband (UWB) wireless network; and retransmission.

In the same field of endeavor Tomlinson clearly discloses an ultra wideband (UWB) wireless network; and retransmission (paragraph 3, lines 24-30; paragraph 11, lines 12-14, 29-32; paragraph 13, lines 9-11; paragraph 15, lines 6-10; paragraph 16, lines 14-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Tomlinson into the method of Armantrout in order to provide reliable service in a telecommunication system.

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claims 3-12, 15, 16, 19, 20, 22, and 23; the combination above clearly discloses receiving said any acknowledgement transmissions from the UWB wireless network (Armantrout, abstract, column 3, lines 11-59; column 4, lines 14-25; column 5, lines 22-58; Tomlinson, paragraph 3, lines 24-30; paragraph 11, lines 12-14, 29-32; paragraph 13, lines 9-11; paragraph 15, lines 6-10; paragraph 16, lines 14-18).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Joel Ajayi whose telephone number is (571) 270-1091. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30am to 5:00pm and Friday 7:30am to 4:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Joel Ajayi

April 20, 2007